

Classification of integrable Volterra-type lattices on the sphere: isotropic case

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2008 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 41 145201

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/1751-8121/41/14/145201>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.147

The article was downloaded on 03/06/2010 at 06:39

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

Classification of integrable Volterra-type lattices on the sphere: isotropic case

V E Adler

L D Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, 1a Semenov pr., 142432 Chernogolovka, Russia

E-mail: adler@itp.ac.ru

Received 17 December 2007, in final form 21 February 2008

Published 26 March 2008

Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/41/145201

Abstract

The symmetry approach is used for classification of integrable isotropic vector Volterra lattices on the sphere. The list of integrable lattices consists mainly of new equations. Their symplectic structure and associated PDE of vector NLS type are discussed.

PACS numbers: 02.30.Ik, 02.30.Jr

Mathematics Subject Classification: 35Q55, 37K10, 37K35

1. Introduction

We call vector Volterra lattices the equations of the general form

$$V_{n,x} = f_n V_{n+1} + g_n V_n + h_n V_{n-1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (1)$$

where V_n are vectors, and f_n , g_n and h_n are scalar functions depending on V_{n+1} , V_n and V_{n-1} . The integrability is understood as existence of higher symmetries, that is the equations which are consistent with (1), but involve the larger number of neighbor vectors (preserving the same quasi-linear structure). The precise definitions are given in the next section. The goal of this paper is to classify integrable cases under the following assumptions:

- (i) the lattice and its symmetries are isotropic and shift invariant, that is their coefficients depend only on the scalar products $v_{m,n} := \langle V_m, V_n \rangle = \langle V_n, V_m \rangle$ and this dependence is same at each node;
- (ii) the lattice must be integrable independently on the dimension of the vector space and the nature of scalar product;
- (iii) all V_n are of unit length, $v_{n,n} = 1$.

The shift invariance allows the use of short-hand notation with the discrete variable n omitted from subscripts, so that equation (1) takes the form

$$V_x = f V_1 + g V + h V_{-1} \quad (2)$$

(subscripts x, t will be reserved for denoting derivatives, not shifts). Due to the other assumptions, functions f, g and h are related by the equation

$$v_{1,0}f + g + v_{0,-1}h = 0 \tag{3}$$

and depend only on the scalar products $v_{1,0}, v_{0,-1}, v_{1,-1}$ which can be considered as independent variables. Therefore, the classification problem is reduced to finding two functions of three variables, so that its complexity is comparable with the case of scalar Volterra lattices

$$v_x = f(v_1, v, v_{-1}) \tag{4}$$

classified by Yamilov [1], see also the recent review article [2]. The whole method of solution is also very close, since the necessary integrability conditions in both cases formally coincide (the difference is in the set of dynamical variables: $v_{m,n}$ instead of v_n). In the continuous case, the general approach based on this remarkable observation has been developed by Sokolov and Meshkov in the pioneering papers [3, 4] devoted to the classification of KdV-type vector equations (including the anisotropic ones) on the sphere. Important classification results for some other types of vector PDE were obtained in [5–7]; however the approach in these papers relied essentially on the polynomial or rational structure of equations.

In principle, the classification problem for the lattices (2) can be solved without the unitary condition (iii). This constraint does not define an independent class of equations, but only a special reduction of the general problem. Indeed, it can be resolved by the use of the stereographic projection

$$V = \frac{1 - \langle U, U \rangle}{1 + \langle U, U \rangle} e_0 + \frac{2}{1 + \langle U, U \rangle} U,$$

where e_0 is some fixed unit vector and U belongs to its orthogonal subspace. Vector U satisfies, in virtue of equation (2), some isotropic lattice $U_x = \tilde{f}U_1 + \tilde{g}U + \tilde{h}U_{-1}$. Since the dimension of the vector space is inessential in our considerations, we see that any lattice on the sphere corresponds under this mapping to some lattice in the free space. On the other hand, this lattice for U is not arbitrary: it must admit the reduction $\langle U, U \rangle = 1$ since $U = V$ under this constraint. This reduction brings us back to the original lattice.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains a concise explanation of the symmetry approach and derivation of the sequence of integrability conditions in the form of conservation laws. These are used in section 3 which is the main technical body of the text. All lattices (2) are divided there into two subclasses; the first one is analyzed thoroughly, while the second one is poor in answers and its presentation is more brief. The results of classification are presented in section 4. The rest of the paper contains some discussion on associated PDEs and symplectic structures.

2. The necessary integrability conditions

The symmetry approach to classification of integrable equations had been developed in 1980s, see e.g. [9, 10] as general sources, [13] for a modern account on the discrete case and review articles [2, 14] for detailed references. Lattice (2) is called integrable if it possesses an infinite hierarchy of the symmetries of the form

$$V_{t_k} = p^{(k,k)} V_k + p^{(k,k-1)} V_{k-1} + \dots + p^{(k,1-k)} V_{1-k} + p^{(k,-k)} V_{-k} \tag{5}$$

with coefficients depending on the scalar products of V_k, \dots, V_{-k} . It is easy to see that the compatibility condition splits over the vector variables V_n resulting in the commutator relation

$$D_x(P^{(k)}) - D_{t_k}(F) = [F, P] \tag{6}$$

for scalar operators

$$F = fT + g + hT^{-1}, \quad P^{(k)} = p^{(k,k)}T^k + \dots + p^{(k,-k)}T^{-k}$$

where T denotes the shift operator $n \mapsto n + 1$. This allows us to use the necessary integrability conditions established in the scalar case (4) by Yamilov [1], with operator F instead of the linearization operator $f_* = f_{v_1}T + f_v + f_{v_{-1}}T^{-1}$. For the sake of completeness we repeat very briefly the derivation of these conditions.

Equation (6) is equivalent to a set of equations for the coefficients of $P^{(k)}$. One pair of equations defines explicitly the leading coefficients

$$p^{(k,k)} = f_{k-1} \dots f_1 f, \quad p^{(k,-k)} = \alpha h_{-k+1} \dots h_{-1} h,$$

while solvability of the remaining equations provides some sequence of necessary conditions to integrability of the lattice. These conditions do not depend actually on the order k of the symmetry. More precisely, let equation (6) be solved, at some $k = K - 1, K$, with respect to $2l + 2$ coefficients $p^{(k,\pm k)}, p^{(k,\pm(k-1))}, \dots, p^{(k,\pm(k-l))}$, where $k - l > 1$. Then it can be solved with respect to these $2l + 2$ coefficients at any $k > K$. Moreover, the coefficients of one symmetry are expressed through the coefficients of the other one by explicit formulae. In order to prove this, it is sufficient to note that the term $D_k(F)$ on the lhs of (6) affects the computation of the coefficients $p^{(k,1)}, p^{(k,0)}, p^{(k,-1)}$ only, and that $P^{(k)}$ can be approximated by the formal power series $(P^{(K)}(P^{(K-1)})^{-1})^k$. This brings us to the following statement.

Statement 1. *If the lattice (2) possesses an infinite hierarchy of higher symmetries then the equations*

$$\begin{aligned} L_x &= [F, L], & L &= a^{(-1)}T + a^{(0)} + a^{(1)}T^{-1} + a^{(2)}T^{-2} \dots \\ \tilde{L}_x &= [F, \tilde{L}], & \tilde{L} &= \tilde{a}^{(-1)}T^{-1} + \tilde{a}^{(0)} + \tilde{a}^{(1)}T + \tilde{a}^{(2)}T^2 \dots \end{aligned}$$

are solvable with respect to the coefficients $a^{(j)}, \tilde{a}^{(j)}$ depending on $v_{m,n}$.

The series L, \tilde{L} are called *formal symmetries*. In turn, the equations for their coefficients can be rewritten further as the sequence of conservation laws

$$D_x(\rho^{(j)}) = (T - 1)(\sigma^{(j)}), \quad D_x(\tilde{\rho}^{(j)}) = (T^{-1} - 1)(\tilde{\sigma}^{(j)}), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (7)$$

More precisely, if lattice (2) possesses the symmetry of order k , then equations (7) can be solved with respect to $\sigma^{(j)}, \tilde{\sigma}^{(j)}$ for $j = 0, \dots, k - 2$. The densities $\rho^{(j)}, \tilde{\rho}^{(j)}$ are expressed explicitly by certain recursive algorithm in terms of the lattice coefficients and previously found $\sigma^{(j)}, \tilde{\sigma}^{(j)}$. This algorithm relates $\rho^{(j)}$ with the residue of L^j defined as the free term of power series in T (the formula $\text{res}[A, B] \in \text{Im}(T - 1)$ can be proven). However, in practice we will need only few conservation laws and the corresponding formulae can be derived straightforwardly.

Statement 2. *Let the lattice (2) be integrable, then equations (7) are solvable for the following sequence of the densities $\rho^{(j)}, \tilde{\rho}^{(j)}$:*

$$\rho^{(0)} = \log f, \quad \tilde{\rho}^{(0)} = \log h, \quad (8)$$

$$\rho^{(1)} = g + \sigma^{(0)}, \quad \tilde{\rho}^{(1)} = g + \tilde{\sigma}^{(0)}, \quad (9)$$

$$\rho^{(2)} = hf_{-1} + \frac{1}{2}(\rho^{(1)})^2 + \sigma^{(1)}, \quad \tilde{\rho}^{(2)} = fh_1 + \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\rho}^{(1)})^2 + \tilde{\sigma}^{(1)}. \quad (10)$$

Proof. The equations for the coefficients $a^{(-1)}, a^{(0)}, a^{(1)}, a^{(2)}$ are:

$$0 = fa_1^{(-1)} - f_1a^{(-1)},$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_x^{(-1)} &= f a_1^{(0)} - f a^{(0)} + g a^{(-1)} - g_1 a^{(-1)}, \\ a_x^{(0)} &= f a_1^{(1)} - f_{-1} a^{(1)} + h a_{-1}^{(-1)} - h_1 a^{(-1)}, \\ a_x^{(1)} &= f a_1^{(2)} - f_{-2} a^{(2)} + g a^{(1)} - g_{-1} a^{(1)} + h a_{-1}^{(0)} - h a^{(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

The first equation implies $a^{(-1)} = f$, without loss of generality. Then the second equation takes the form $(\log f)_x = (T - 1)(a^{(0)} - g)$, so that we obtain the density $\rho^{(0)}$ and the formula for the next coefficient of the formal symmetry: $a^{(0)} = g + \sigma^{(0)}$. According to the third equation, this coefficient may be taken as the density $\rho^{(1)}$ and then $a^{(1)} = h + \sigma^{(1)}/f_{-1}$. The last equation can be brought to the form

$$(h f_{-1} + \frac{1}{2}(\rho^{(1)})^2 + \sigma^{(1)})_x = (T - 1)(f_{-1} f_{-2} a^{(2)} + \sigma^{(1)} \rho_{-1}^{(1)})$$

after multiplication by f_{-1} and taking into account the previous equations. The second set of the densities is obtained immediately due to the symmetry $n \rightarrow -n$. \square

Remark 1. In addition to the higher symmetries, existence of the higher order conservation laws is another characteristic feature of integrable equations. It is possible to derive some integrability conditions from this property as well. This leads to the notion of *formal conservation law*

$$S_x + S F + F^\top S = 0, \quad S = s^{(0)} + s^{(1)} T^{-1} + s^{(2)} T^{-2} + \dots$$

where $(a T^j)^\top := T^{-j} a$ and coefficients $s^{(j)}$ depend on $v_{m,n}$. Solvability of this equation is equivalent to the sequence of conditions of the form

$$\hat{\rho}^{(j)} = (T - 1)(\hat{\sigma}^{(j)}), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{11}$$

In particular,

$$\hat{\rho}^{(0)} = \log(-f/h), \quad \hat{\rho}^{(1)} = 2g + D_x(\hat{\sigma}^{(0)}).$$

It can be proven that the conservation laws (7) are equivalent in virtue of conditions (11), that is $\rho^{(j)} + \text{const} \tilde{\rho}^{(j)} \in \mathbb{C} \oplus \text{Im}(T - 1)$. In some classification problems use of these additional integrability conditions may lead to a crucial simplification or even to a shorter list of equations. In particular, these conditions were used by Yamilov in his classification of the scalar lattices (4) (see the footnote on p 567 and theorem 22 in [2]). It turns out, however, that in the vector case these conditions are of minimal value and it is possible to dispense with them (in all found lattices they are fulfilled automatically).

Returning to the characteristic equation (6) we note that solvability of the first pair of integrability conditions (7), (8) allows us to find the coefficients $p^{(k,\pm k)}, p^{(k,\pm(k-1))}$ of the symmetry. At $k = 2$ this defines the symmetry completely, due to the constraint $\langle V, V \rangle = 1$ which implies

$$v_{2,0} p^{(2,2)} + v_{1,0} p^{(2,1)} + p^{(2,0)} + v_{0,-1} p^{(2,-1)} + v_{0,-2} p^{(2,-2)} = 0.$$

The straightforward computation shows that if this symmetry exists then it must be of the form

$$\begin{aligned} V_t &= f f_1 (V_2 - v_{2,0} V) + f (\rho_1^{(1)} + \rho^{(1)}) (V_1 - v_{1,0} V) \\ &\quad + \kappa h (\tilde{\rho}_{-1}^{(1)} + \tilde{\rho}^{(1)} + \tilde{\kappa}) (V_{-1} - v_{0,-1} V) + \kappa h h_{-1} (V_{-2} - v_{0,-2} V) \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

with some indeterminate integration constants $\kappa, \tilde{\kappa}$. Although the use of this explicit formula gives no essential advantage in solving the classification problem, it is useful as a final check of integrability of the obtained lattices.

3. Analysis of the integrability conditions

3.1. First step

Consider the first pair of integrability conditions (7), (8)

$$D_x(\log f) \in \text{Im}(T - 1), \quad D_x(\log h) \in \text{Im}(T - 1). \quad (13)$$

It is easy to obtain the following equations as a corollary:

$$\frac{f_{v_{1,-1}}}{f^2} + \frac{h}{f} T \left(\frac{f_{v_{1,-1}}}{f^2} \right) = 0, \quad \frac{h_{v_{1,-1}}}{h^2} + \frac{f}{h} T^{-1} \left(\frac{h_{v_{1,-1}}}{h^2} \right) = 0. \quad (14)$$

Indeed, the terms containing scalar products $v_{k,k-3}$ appear only by differentiating $v_{1,-1}$ with respect to x :

$$D_x(\log f) = \frac{f_{v_{1,-1}}}{f} D_x(v_{1,-1}) + \dots = \frac{f_{v_{1,-1}}}{f} (f_1 v_{2,-1} + h_{-1} v_{1,-2}) + \dots$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Im}(T-1)}{\simeq} \left(\frac{f_{v_{1,-1}}}{f} f_1 + T \left(\frac{f_{v_{1,-1}}}{f} \right) h \right) v_{2,-1} + \dots$$

and the first equation (14) follows. This computation is actually equivalent to applying variational derivative $\delta/\delta v_{3,0}$ defined by the formula

$$\frac{\delta a}{\delta v_{j,0}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{j,0}} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} T^k(a), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

The use of this notion makes the computations more algorithmic, due to the equality

$$\mathbb{C} \oplus \text{Im}(T - 1) = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \ker \frac{\delta}{\delta v_{j,0}}$$

which is proven along the same lines as in the scalar case [2].

Statement 3. *The dependence of the coefficients of the lattice on $v_{1,-1}$ may be one of the following:*

$$\text{case 1.} \quad f = \frac{a(v_{0,-1})}{v_{1,-1} + b(v_{1,0}, v_{0,-1})}, \quad h = -\frac{a(v_{1,0})}{v_{1,-1} + b(v_{1,0}, v_{0,-1})},$$

$$\text{case 2.} \quad f = f(v_{1,0}, v_{0,-1}), \quad h = h(v_{1,0}, v_{0,-1}).$$

Proof. The first equation (14) implies that $f_{v_{1,-1}}/f^2$ may depend on $v_{0,-1}$ only. If $f_{v_{1,-1}} \neq 0$ then we come to case 1. If $f_{v_{1,-1}} = 0$ then $h_{v_{1,-1}} = 0$ as well, in virtue of the second equation (14), and we come to case 2. \square

Conditions (13) are far from being exhausted by this statement. We will see that for case 1 they allow us to define functions a and b as well.

3.2. Case 1: $f_{v_{1,-1}} \neq 0$

Note that in this case relation (11) at $j = 0$ is satisfied with $\hat{\sigma}^{(0)} = -\log a(v_{0,-1})$. This means that conditions (13) are equivalent to each other and we may consider only the first one. Applying of $\delta/\delta v_{2,0}$ to it is rather a tedious task. The resulting equation is polynomial in variables $v_{k+2,k}$ and vanishing of the coefficients brings us to a certain overdetermined system for functions a and b . It is convenient to introduce the auxiliary functions

$$y(v) = \frac{1 - v^2}{a^2(v)}, \quad c(u, v) = \frac{b(u, v) + uv}{a(u)a(v)} \quad (15)$$

and to denote $u = v_{1,0}$, $v = v_{0,-1}$ and $w = v_{-1,-2}$. This allows us to rewrite the system in a relatively compact form as follows:

$$c(u, v)(a'(u) - a'(v)) = (a(u)y(u))_u - (a(v)y(v))_v, \tag{16}$$

$$a(u)(c + y(u))c_u - a(v)(c + y(v))c_v = \frac{u(c - y(v))}{a(u)} - \frac{v(c - y(u))}{a(v)}, \quad c = c(u, v), \tag{17}$$

$$(c(v, w) + y(v))(2c(u, v) + y(v))_v = (c(u, v) + y(v))(2c(v, w) + y(v))_v. \tag{18}$$

First, we will prove that all solutions of equation (18) are:

- (i) $2c(u, v) = 2\alpha - y(u) - y(v)$,
- (ii) $c(u, v) = \alpha z(u)z(v) + \beta, \quad y(v) = \gamma z^2(v) - \beta, \quad z' \neq 0$

where α, β and γ are arbitrary constants.

If $c(v, w) + y(v) = 0$ or $c(u, v) + y(v) = 0$ then (18) is reduced to the equation

$$0 = (y(u) - y(v))y'(v);$$

hence $y(v) = -\beta, c(u, v) = \beta$, a special case of solution (ii).

If $(c(v, w) + y(v))(c(u, v) + y(v)) \neq 0$ then the variables in (18) can be separated:

$$\frac{(2c(u, v) + y(u))_u}{c(u, v) + y(u)} = 2k(u), \quad \frac{(2c(u, v) + y(v))_v}{c(u, v) + y(v)} = 2k(v) \tag{19}$$

and as a corollary we obtain $c_{uv} = k(u)c_v = k(v)c_u$. The case $k = 0$ corresponds to solution (i). At $k \neq 0$ we get $c = C(K(u) + K(v))$, $K' = k$ and $C'' = C'$, whence $c = \alpha z(u)z(v) + \beta$, where $z' = kz$. Moreover, both equations (19) are reduced to the relation

$$y'(v) = \frac{2z'(v)}{z(v)}(y(v) + \beta)$$

and we get (ii) by integration. Now we consider both types of solutions separately and come to the following statement.

Statement 4. *The solutions $a = a(v), b = b(u, v)$ of the system (15)–(18) are exhausted, up to the scaling $a \rightarrow \text{const } a$, by the following list:*

$$a = v - 1/v, \quad b = -uv; \tag{20}$$

$$a^2 - kva + v^2 - 1 = 0, \quad b = a(u)a(v) - uv; \tag{21}$$

$$a = v + \varepsilon, \quad b = -1; \tag{22}$$

$$a = v + \varepsilon, \quad b = (u + \varepsilon)(v + \varepsilon) \left(\sqrt{\left(\frac{u - \varepsilon}{u + \varepsilon} - k\right)\left(\frac{v - \varepsilon}{v + \varepsilon} - k\right) + k} \right) - uv; \tag{23}$$

$$a = v + \varepsilon, \quad b = 1 + \varepsilon(u + v) + k\sqrt{(u + \varepsilon)(v + \varepsilon)} \tag{24}$$

where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ and k is an arbitrary constant.

Proof. *Solutions of type (i)* Applying $\partial_u \partial_v$ to (16) yields

$$y'(u)a''(v) = y'(v)a''(u).$$

If $y' = 0$ then scaling allows us to set $y = 1, a^2(v) = 1 - v^2$ and then (16) implies that $c = 1$. Equation (17) becomes identically true in virtue of these relations and we arrive at solution (21) at $k = 0$.

If $y' \neq 0$ then $a' = \mu y + v$. The variables in (16) are now separated and we obtain the overdetermined ODE system for the functions $a = a(v)$, $y = y(v)$:

$$ay' = R(y) = -\frac{3}{2}\mu y^2 + (\alpha\mu - v)y + \lambda, \quad a' = S(y) = \mu y + v, \quad ya^2 = 1 - v^2. \quad (25)$$

Differentiation yields (the dot denotes the derivative with respect to y)

$$a(2yS + R) = -2v, \quad S(2yS + R) + (2S + 2y\dot{S} + \dot{R})R + 2 = 0.$$

The polynomial on y on the lhs of the latter equation must vanish identically since $y' \neq 0$. This gives the relations $\mu = 0$, $\lambda v = -1$ and moreover, the scaling allows us to set $v = 1$. Now, the system (25) is reduced to equations

$$ay' = -y - 1, \quad a = v + \varepsilon, \quad a^2y = 1 - v^2.$$

It is easy to prove that they are consistent at $\varepsilon^2 = 1$, and an intermediate substitution into (17) proves that $\alpha = 0$. The resulting solution is (22).

Solutions of type (ii) Applying $\partial_u \partial_v$ to (16) yields

$$\alpha \left(a'(u) - a'(v) + \frac{z(u)a''(u)}{z'(u)} - \frac{z(v)a''(v)}{z'(v)} \right) = 0. \quad (26)$$

If $\alpha = 0$ then $c = \beta$ and variables in equation (17) are separated:

$$\frac{(\beta - y(u))a(u)}{u} = \frac{(\beta - y(v))a(v)}{v} = \delta.$$

This relation turns equation (16) into identity as well. Taking (15) into account, we obtain the equation $\beta a^2 - \delta va + v^2 - 1 = 0$ for $a(v)$. This brings us, up to the scaling, to the solutions (20), (21).

If $\alpha \neq 0$ then we set $\alpha = 1$ without loss of generality. Equation (26) implies $a' = \mu/q + v$, then the variables in (16) are separated and we obtain the overdetermined ODE system for the functions $a = a(v)$, $z = z(v)$:

$$a' = \frac{\mu}{z} + v, \quad ((\gamma z^2 - \beta)a)' - \frac{\mu\beta}{z} + \mu z = \lambda, \quad (\gamma z^2 - \beta)a^2 = 1 - v^2. \quad (27)$$

Note that $\gamma \neq 0$: otherwise $-2\mu\beta/z + \mu z - \beta v = \lambda$ and since $z' \neq 0$, hence $\mu = 0$; but then the equations $a' = v$, $\beta a^2 = v^2 - 1$ are inconsistent. Therefore, the second equation (27) can be rewritten as follows:

$$z' = \frac{1}{2\gamma a} \left(-\gamma v z - \mu(\gamma + 1) + \frac{\lambda + \beta v}{z} + \frac{2\mu\beta}{z^2} \right).$$

Now, differentiating of the third equation (27) brings us, as in the previous case, to a polynomial equation for z which must be satisfied identically. This gives equations for the parameters:

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma - 1)\beta\mu &= 0, & (3\gamma - 1)(\lambda + \beta v)\mu &= 0, \\ (\gamma - 3)\mu v &= 0, & 4\gamma(\lambda v + 1) + (\gamma - 1)^2\mu^2 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, substitution into (17) gives additionally the equations

$$(\gamma + 1)(\gamma - 3)\beta\mu = 0, \quad (\gamma^2 - 1)(\lambda + \beta v) = 0, \quad (\gamma^2 - 1)\mu = 0.$$

The solutions of the whole system are

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu^2 = 1, \beta = 0, \lambda = 0, v = 0, \gamma = -1), \\ (\mu = 0, v = -1/\lambda, \gamma^2 = 1), \quad (\mu = 0, v = -1/\lambda, \beta = \lambda^2). \end{aligned}$$

The first one is unsuitable since it leads to $z' = 0$. For the other two we set $v = 1$, $\lambda = -1$ and $a = v + \varepsilon$ without loss of generality. It is easy to check that (27) are consistent at $\varepsilon^2 = 1$ and we come to solutions (23) and (24), respectively. \square

It can be proved straightforwardly that conditions (7) at $j = 0$ are fulfilled for each solution (20)–(24), that is there exist quantities $\sigma^{(0)}, \tilde{\sigma}^{(0)}$ which turn them into identities. It is sufficient to compute only $\sigma^{(0)}$, due to the relation $\tilde{\sigma}_{-1}^{(0)} = D_x(\hat{\sigma}^{(0)}) - \sigma^{(0)}$ where $\hat{\sigma}^{(0)} = -\log a(v_{0,-1})$. Practically, this computation is based on the ‘summation by parts’ algorithm, see e.g. [2, theorem 1]. After finding $\sigma^{(0)}$ one can continue the integrability test with the next pair of densities (9). It turns out that in all cases except for (24) the second integrability condition is fulfilled automatically. In the case (24) we obtain the restriction $k^3 - 4k = 0$ on the values of the parameter. In more details, the density $\rho^{(1)}$ is in this case of the form

$$\rho^{(1)} = \frac{f_{-1}}{v_{-1,-2} + \varepsilon}(v_{0,-2} - 1) + \frac{ff_{-1}}{v_{-1,-2} + \varepsilon} \left(v_{1,-2} - v_{1,0} + v_{0,-1} - v_{-1,-2} - \frac{1}{2}(k\sqrt{v_{1,0} + \varepsilon} + 2\varepsilon\sqrt{v_{0,-1} + \varepsilon})(k\sqrt{v_{-1,-2} + \varepsilon} + 2\varepsilon\sqrt{v_{0,-1} + \varepsilon}) \right)$$

and it can be proven that $\delta D_x(\rho^1)/\delta_{v_{2,0}}$ vanishes if and only if the above constraint holds.

The computation of $\sigma^{(1)}$ and further check of the integrability conditions require the considerable efforts. Fortunately, it is possible to avoid these calculations by checking that the explicit formula (12) provides the higher symmetry indeed. This turns out to be true for (20)–(23) and (24) at $k = 0, \pm 2$ (with constants $\kappa = -1, \tilde{\kappa} = 0$ in all cases) and we come, respectively, to the lattices (V1)–(V5) given in the list 1.

3.3. Case 2: $f_{v_{1,-1}} = 0$

Computations here are easier, but also more lengthy, since in some subcases we have to check up to the three integrability conditions (7). However, the result of this search is somewhat disappointing: it consists of one lattice (V6). For this reason we give only a schematic account of this case.

Applying $\delta/\delta_{v_{2,0}}$ to (13) yields the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{h}{f} \left(T \left(\frac{f_{v_{0,-1}}}{f} \right) + \frac{f_{v_{1,0}}}{f} \right) + \frac{f_{v_{0,-1}}}{f} + T^{-1} \left(\frac{f_{v_{1,0}}}{f} \right) &= 0, \\ \frac{h}{f} \left(T \left(\frac{h_{v_{0,-1}}}{h} \right) + \frac{h_{v_{1,0}}}{h} \right) + \frac{h_{v_{0,-1}}}{h} + T^{-1} \left(\frac{h_{v_{1,0}}}{h} \right) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

In turn, differentiating this with respect to $v_{2,1}$ yields

$$(\log f)_{v_{1,0}, v_{0,-1}} = 0, \quad (\log h)_{v_{1,0}, v_{0,-1}} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad f = T(a)b, \quad h = T(c)d$$

where a, b, c and d are functions on $v_{0,-1}$. Now, the variables in equations (28) are separated and we come to the relations

$$\frac{(ab)'}{ab} \cdot \frac{c}{a} = \mu, \quad \frac{(ab)'}{ab} \cdot \frac{b}{d} = -\mu, \quad \frac{(cd)'}{cd} \cdot \frac{b}{d} = \nu, \quad \frac{(cd)'}{cd} \cdot \frac{c}{a} = -\nu$$

with some constants μ, ν . If $ab + cd \neq 0$ then $(ab)' = (cd)' = 0$, so that two cases are possible, up to the scaling:

- (i) $b = p/a, \quad c = ap/p', \quad d = -p'/a, \quad p' \neq 0;$
- (ii) $a = \alpha/b, \quad d = 1/c.$

In the case (i), applying $\delta/\delta_{v_{1,0}}$ to (13) brings us to a certain overdetermined system for functions a, p . It is convenient to analyze this system taking into account some additional information (namely, the equation $pp'' = \text{const}(p')^2$) which can be obtained either from the integrability condition (11) at $j = 1$ or from the next pair of conservation laws (7), (9). This

allows us to prove that functions $a(v)$, $p(v)$ may be the following:

$$a = p = \frac{1}{v + \delta}; \quad a = 1, p = v + \delta; \quad a = v, p = v^3.$$

The check of conservation laws, (7) and (9), for the first solution proves that δ must take the values $\pm 1, 0$ and leads to the lattice (V6), while two other solutions do not pass the test.

In the case (ii) the first pair of integrability conditions (7), (8) is fulfilled for any α, b, c . The further analysis proves that conditions (7) and (9) are fulfilled if $\alpha = 1$ and either $b(v) = c(v) = \sqrt{v + \delta}$ or $b = c = 1$. However, the next conditions, (7) and (10), fail in both cases, so that this case turns out to be empty.

4. The list of integrable lattices

List 1: Integrable lattices, $\langle V, V \rangle = 1$, $v_{m,n} = \langle V_m, V_n \rangle$, $\varepsilon = \pm 1$.

$$V_x = \frac{a(V_1 - v_{1,0}V) + a_1(v_{0,-1}V - V_{-1})}{v_{1,-1} - v_{1,0}v_{0,-1}}, \quad a = v_{0,-1} - \frac{1}{v_{0,-1}}; \quad (V1)$$

$$V_x = \frac{a(V_1 - v_{1,0}V) + a_1(v_{0,-1}V - V_{-1})}{v_{1,-1} - v_{1,0}v_{0,-1} + aa_1}, \quad a^2 - 2kv_{0,-1}a + v_{0,-1}^2 - 1 = 0; \quad (V2)$$

$$V_x = \frac{(v_{0,-1} + \varepsilon)(V_1 + \varepsilon V) - (v_{1,0} + \varepsilon)(V_{-1} + \varepsilon V)}{v_{1,-1} - 1}; \quad (V3)$$

$$V_x = \frac{(v_{0,-1} + \varepsilon)(V_1 + \varepsilon V) - (v_{1,0} + \varepsilon)(V_{-1} + \varepsilon V)}{v_{1,-1} - v_{1,0}v_{0,-1} + (v_{1,0} + \varepsilon)(v_{0,-1} + \varepsilon)(k + pp_1)}, \quad p = \sqrt{\frac{v_{0,-1} - \varepsilon}{v_{0,-1} + \varepsilon}} - k; \quad (V4)$$

$$V_x = \frac{(v_{0,-1} + \varepsilon)(V_1 + \varepsilon V) - (v_{1,0} + \varepsilon)(V_{-1} + \varepsilon V)}{v_{1,-1} + \varepsilon(v_{1,0} + v_{0,-1}) + 1 + k\sqrt{v_{1,0} + \varepsilon}\sqrt{v_{0,-1} + \varepsilon}}, \quad k = 0, \pm 2; \quad (V5)$$

$$V_x = \frac{V_1 + \delta V}{v_{1,0} + \delta} - \frac{V_{-1} + \delta V}{v_{0,-1} + \delta}, \quad \delta = 0, \pm 1. \quad (V6)$$

Theorem 1. *If the isotropic Volterra-type lattice on the sphere $\langle V, V \rangle = 1$ satisfies the integrability conditions (7)–(10) then it coincides with one of the lattices from list 1, up to scaling of x . Each lattice from list possesses at least one higher symmetry of form (12).*

Remark 2. The lattices corresponding to the different signs of ε or δ are equivalent to modulo flip map $V_n \rightarrow (-1)^n V_n$. The lattice (V2) at $k = \pm 1$ coincides with (V5) at $k = 0$.

The lattice (V6) is the discrete Heisenberg spin chain introduced in [15], see also [16, 17] where the applications to the discrete geometry were considered, and [8] where the anisotropic version (see section 7) was studied. It can be written (at $\delta = 1$ and after scaling x) as

$$V_x = \frac{V_1 + V}{|V_1 + V|^2} - \frac{V + V_{-1}}{|V + V_{-1}|^2}. \quad (29)$$

In this form, the constraint $\langle V, V \rangle = 1$ is not necessary for integrability. This lattice and its higher symmetry (12) can be written compactly as

$$V_x = (T - 1)(W), \quad V_t = (T - 1)P_W(W_1 - W_{-1}), \quad W = (V + V_{-1})^{-1}$$

by use of the operations

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\langle A, A \rangle} A, \quad P_A(B) = 2\langle A, B \rangle A - \langle A, A \rangle B.$$

The variable U satisfies the polynomial lattices

$$W_x = -P_W(W_1 - W_{-1}), \quad W_t = -P_W(P_{W_1}(W_2 + W) - P_{W_{-1}}(W + W_{-2}))$$

which are integrable not only in the vector case, but also in a more general setting related to the Jordan triple systems [18].

The lattices (V1)–(V5) are new, up to the author’s knowledge. The lattice (V3) is related to (V6) by composition of difference substitution and reduction. Namely, first we can resolve the constraint $\langle V, V \rangle = 1$ by the use of the stereographic projection as explained in the introduction. This brings us (V3) at $\varepsilon = -1$ to the form

$$U_x = \frac{|U - U_{-1}|^2(U_1 - U) + |U_1 - U|^2(U - U_{-1})}{|U_1 - U_{-1}|^2}$$

and then substitution $\tilde{V} = U - U_{-1}$ brings it to the lattice

$$\tilde{V}_x = \frac{|\tilde{V}|^2 \tilde{V}_1 + |\tilde{V}_1|^2 \tilde{V}}{|\tilde{V}_1 + \tilde{V}|^2} - \frac{|\tilde{V}_{-1}|^2 \tilde{V} + |\tilde{V}|^2 \tilde{V}_{-1}}{|\tilde{V} + \tilde{V}_{-1}|^2}.$$

This is not the same lattice as (29); however it is obvious that both lattices admit the reduction on the sphere which brings them to the lattice (V6). The question on the substitutions for the other lattices from the list is so far open.

5. Associated partial differential equations

The very general observation due to Levi [19] is that a higher symmetry of an integrable lattice gives rise to some PDE after the elimination of the discrete variable n . The lattice itself is now interpreted as Bäcklund transformation for this PDE. The examples of such a relation can be found in [11, 12] and many other works. In particular, the integrable Volterra lattices (4) are associated with some systems of nonlinear Schrödinger type. There are known also many results on the multifield analogs of NLS-type systems, see e.g. [20–23], however their classification is far from being completed. The list of vector Volterra lattices provides several new examples of such systems.

The elimination of the discrete variable is done as follows. Equations (2) and (3) imply the corollaries

$$\begin{aligned} \langle V_x, V_1 \rangle &= (1 - v_{1,0}^2)f + (v_{1,-1} - v_{1,0}v_{0,-1})h, \\ \langle V_x, V_x \rangle &= (1 - v_{1,0}^2)f^2 + 2(v_{1,-1} - v_{1,0}v_{0,-1})fh + (1 - v_{0,-1}^2)h^2. \end{aligned}$$

We assume that these equations can be solved with respect to the scalar products $v_{1,-1}$, $v_{0,-1}$ (this is true for all lattices from the list 1). Then equation (2) can be rewritten in the form

$$V_{-1} = \tilde{f}V_1 + \tilde{g}V + \tilde{h}V_x \tag{30}$$

with coefficients depending on the scalar products of vectors V_1 , V and V_x . Analogously,

$$V_2 = \hat{f}V_{1,x} + \hat{g}V_1 + \hat{h}V.$$

Iteration of these formulae allows us to express all vectors V_n through the vectors $U = V_1$, V and their derivatives. As a result, the symmetry (12) gives rise to a system of the form

$$\begin{cases} U_t = U_{xx} + \alpha U_x + \beta V_x + \gamma U + \delta V, \\ -V_t = V_{xx} + \tilde{\alpha} U_x + \tilde{\beta} V_x + \tilde{\gamma} U + \tilde{\delta} V, \end{cases} \quad \langle U, U \rangle = \langle V, V \rangle = 1 \tag{31}$$

with coefficients depending on the scalar products of U, U_x, V and V_x . Equation (30) becomes an explicit Bäcklund auto-transformation

$$U_{-1} = V, \quad V_{-1} = \tilde{f}U + \tilde{g}V + \tilde{h}V_x$$

of this system. Converse is not true: not any integrable system (31) admits auto-BT of such a form. The classification problem for this type of equations may be difficult, since even the simplest lattices from our list correspond to rather cumbersome systems (31). A few instances are given below. In the case (V6) at $\delta = \pm 1$ we come to the system

$$U_t = U_{xx} - \frac{2\langle U_x, V \rangle + 4\delta}{\langle U, V \rangle + \delta} U_x + \frac{2V_x}{\langle U, V \rangle + \delta} + \left(\frac{\langle U_x, U_x \rangle}{\langle U, V \rangle + \delta} - \frac{2\langle U, V_x \rangle}{(\langle U, V \rangle + \delta)^2} \right) (\delta U + V),$$

$$-V_t = V_{xx} - \frac{2\langle U, V_x \rangle - 4\delta}{\langle U, V \rangle + \delta} V_x - \frac{2U_x}{\langle U, V \rangle + \delta} + \left(\frac{\langle V_x, V_x \rangle}{\langle U, V \rangle + \delta} + \frac{2\langle U_x, V \rangle}{(\langle U, V \rangle + \delta)^2} \right) (U + \delta V),$$

while (V6) at $\delta = 0$ corresponds to the system

$$U_t = U_{xx} - \frac{2\langle U_x, V \rangle \langle U, V \rangle + 2}{\langle U, V \rangle^2} U_x + \left(\langle U_x, U_x \rangle + \frac{2\langle U_x, V \rangle}{\langle U, V \rangle} \right) U + \left(\frac{2V}{\langle U, V \rangle} \right)_x,$$

$$-V_t = V_{xx} - \frac{2\langle U, V_x \rangle \langle U, V \rangle - 2}{\langle U, V \rangle^2} V_x + \left(\langle V_x, V_x \rangle - \frac{2\langle U, V_x \rangle}{\langle U, V \rangle} \right) V - \left(\frac{2U}{\langle U, V \rangle} \right)_x.$$

The lattice (V3) is associated with the system

$$U_t = U_{xx} - 2 \left(\frac{\langle U, V_x \rangle \langle U_x, V \rangle}{(\langle U, V \rangle + \varepsilon)^2} - \frac{\langle U_x, V_x - V \rangle}{\langle U, V \rangle + \varepsilon} \right) U_x - \frac{\langle U_x, U_x \rangle}{\langle U, V \rangle + \varepsilon} V_x$$

$$+ \frac{\langle U_x, U_x \rangle}{\langle U, V \rangle + \varepsilon} \left(1 + \frac{\langle U, V_x \rangle}{\langle U, V \rangle + \varepsilon} \right) (\varepsilon U + V),$$

$$-V_t = V_{xx} + 2 \left(\frac{\langle U, V_x \rangle \langle U_x, V \rangle}{(\langle U, V \rangle + \varepsilon)^2} - \frac{\langle V_x, U_x + U \rangle}{\langle U, V \rangle + \varepsilon} \right) V_x + \frac{\langle V_x, V_x \rangle}{\langle U, V \rangle + \varepsilon} U_x$$

$$+ \frac{\langle V_x, V_x \rangle}{\langle U, V \rangle + \varepsilon} \left(1 - \frac{\langle U_x, V \rangle}{\langle U, V \rangle + \varepsilon} \right) (U + \varepsilon V).$$

6. Presymplectic structure

The bi-Hamiltonian structure of the scalar Volterra lattice is well known, see e.g. [24]. In the vector case the question is more difficult and it requires further investigation. However, the following statement shows that all lattices under scrutiny possess at least some uniform presymplectic structure.

Statement 5. Any lattice (V1)–(V6) can be written in the presymplectic form

$$S V_x = \frac{\delta H}{\delta V} + \lambda V, \quad H = \rho^{(0)} = \log f(v_{1,-1}, v_{1,0}, v_{0,-1}) \tag{32}$$

where S is a certain skew-symmetric operator of the form

$$S = pT^{-1} - p_1T - qV_{-1}V^{\top}T^{-1} + q_1V_1V^{\top}T + r(V_1V_{-1}^{\top} - V_{-1}V_1^{\top}), \tag{33}$$

λ is a Lagrange multiplier corresponding to the constraint $\langle V, V \rangle = 1$ and operator UV^{\top} acts according to the formula $UV^{\top}(W) = U\langle V, W \rangle$.

Proof. Equation (32) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & (pT^{-1} - p_1T)(fV_1 + gV + hV_{-1}) - V_{-1}(qT^{-1} + r)(f + v_{1,0}g + v_{1,-1}h) \\ & \quad + V_1(r + q_1T)(v_{1,-1}f + v_{0,-1}g + h) - \lambda V \\ & = T \left(\frac{f_{v_{1,-1}}}{f} V_1 + \frac{f_{v_{0,-1}}}{f} V \right) + \frac{f_{v_{1,0}}}{f} V_1 + \frac{f_{v_{0,-1}}}{f} V_{-1} + T^{-1} \left(\frac{f_{v_{1,0}}}{f} V + \frac{f_{v_{1,-1}}}{f} V_{-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Equating the coefficients at $V, V_{\pm 2}$ yields

$$\lambda = pf_{-1} - p_1h_1, \quad p = -f_{v_{1,-1}}/f^2, \quad pf + p_1h = 0.$$

The first two equations are just the definitions of λ and p while the latter one is fulfilled for the lattices from the list in virtue of (14). Equations for the remaining coefficients give the system for q and r of the form

$$Ar + A_1q_1 = C, \quad Br + B_{-1}q = D \tag{34}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= v_{1,-1}f + v_{0,-1}g + h, & B &= f + v_{1,0}g + v_{1,-1}h, \\ C &= p_1g_1 + (\log ff)_{v_{1,0}}, & D &= pg_{-1} - (\log ff_{-1})_{v_{0,-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Elimination of one of the unknown functions, say r , brings us (34) to the form

$$(T - 1)(AB_{-1}q) = BC - AD.$$

This means that system (34) is solvable if and only if $BC - AD \in \text{Im}(T - 1)$. Remarkably, this condition is equivalent exactly to $D_x(\log f) \in \text{Im}(T - 1)$, as an easy check proves, and therefore it is true for all lattices from the list 1. \square

The concrete expressions for the coefficients q and r may be rather cumbersome (it is clear from the proof that they are related somehow to the quantity $\sigma^{(0)}$). The answer is very simple for the lattice (V3):

$$p = \frac{1}{v_{0,-1} + \varepsilon}, \quad q = \frac{1}{(v_{0,-1} + \varepsilon)^2}, \quad r = 0. \tag{35}$$

The formula $\langle U, SW \rangle = \Omega(U, W)$ relates operator S with the 2-form

$$\Omega = \sum_n (p_n \langle dV_n \wedge dV_{n-1} \rangle + q_n \langle V_n, dV_{n-1} \rangle \wedge \langle V_{n-1}, dV_n \rangle + r_n \langle V_{n+1}, dV_n \rangle \wedge \langle V_{n-1}, dV_n \rangle)$$

where $\langle \alpha \wedge \beta \rangle(U, W) := \langle \alpha(U), \beta(W) \rangle - \langle \alpha(W), \beta(U) \rangle$. It is easy to see that this form is exact in the case (35), namely $\Omega = d \sum_n p_n \langle V_n, dV_{n-1} \rangle$. Therefore $d\Omega = 0$, that is operator S is symplectic indeed. Unfortunately, this is not true in the general case.

It is also worth noting that representation (32) can be replaced with a linear pencil by assuming that Hamiltonian is of the form $H = \rho^{(0)} + \kappa\rho$, where ρ is some additional conserved density depending on $v_{1,0}$ (it does not belong to sequence (7), however it turns out that such densities exist for all lattices under consideration). Operator S also acquires linear dependence on κ , preserving the same structure (33). We bring the explicit formulae only for the relatively simple case of lattice (V1):

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{(0)} &= \log \frac{a}{v_{1,-1} - v_{1,0}v_{0,-1}}, & \rho &= \log v_{1,0}, & p &= \frac{1}{a}, & a &= v_{0,-1} - \frac{1}{v_{0,-1}}, \\ q &= \frac{1}{a^2} + (\kappa - 1) \frac{(v_{1,-1} - v_{1,0}v_{0,-1})(v_{0,-2} - v_{0,-1}v_{-1,-2})}{av_{0,-1}(v_{1,-1} - \frac{v_{0,-1}}{v_{1,0}})(v_{0,-2} - \frac{v_{0,-1}}{v_{-1,-2}})}, \\ r &= \frac{1}{a_1a} + (\kappa - 1) \frac{v_{1,-1} - v_{1,0}v_{0,-1}}{(v_{1,-1} - \frac{v_{0,-1}}{v_{1,0}})(v_{1,-1} - \frac{v_{1,0}}{v_{0,-1}})}. \end{aligned}$$

Operator S is not symplectic here. We see also that its simplest form corresponds to the Hamiltonian $\rho^{(0)} + \rho$ rather than $\rho^{(0)}$, but this may not be so for the other lattices.

7. Concluding remarks

The goal of the present paper was to solve some classification problem; important things such as difference substitutions, Lax pairs, Bäcklund transformations, explicit solutions and so on have not been considered. These open problems require, probably, more individual investigation for each member of the obtained list. From the author's point of view, the question on the Hamiltonian properties of the vectorial equations is among the most intriguing ones.

It was mentioned in the introduction that assumption (iii) can be removed by the use of stereographic projection. Another interesting setting is related to the variables on the cone $\langle V, V \rangle = 0$ instead of the sphere. At first sight, this constraint may be treated as a limiting case, but actually it defines some independent class of equations. In particular, in this case the coefficient g is not expressed through f and h and we also have no explicit formula like (12) for the symmetry. An interesting example here is the lattice

$$V_x = \frac{1}{v_{1,-1}}(v_{0,-1}V_1 - v_{1,0}V_{-1}) + b(v_{1,-1}, v_{1,0}, v_{0,-1})V, \quad v_{n,n} = 0.$$

It is likely that it satisfies the infinite sequence of integrability conditions (7) at an arbitrary b , but (local) symmetries exist only if $b_{v_{1,-1}} = 0$.

The other possible generalizations are related to condition (i). The simplest anisotropic lattice is an analog of (V6),

$$V_x = \langle V, KV \rangle \left(\frac{V_1 + V}{1 + \langle V_1, V \rangle} - \frac{V + V_{-1}}{1 + \langle V, V_{-1} \rangle} \right), \quad \langle V, V \rangle = 1$$

where K is an arbitrary symmetric operator. This lattice is closely related to many other integrable equations, among them are the Sklyanin lattice and the Landau–Lifshitz equation [8]. The classification problem in the anisotropic case can be in principle solved along the same lines (cf [3, 4] in the continuous case); however technically it is much more difficult since coefficients acquire dependence on the additional variables $\tilde{v}_{m,n} = \langle V_m, KV_n \rangle$. It is interesting to consider also the asymmetric scalar product ($v_{m,n} \neq v_{n,m}$); however the examples of this type are not known at the moment.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Researches under grant # 06-01-92051-KE-a.

References

- [1] Yamilov R I 1983 Classification of discrete evolution equations *Usp. Mat. Nauk* **38** 155–6
- [2] Yamilov R I 2006 Symmetries as integrability criteria for differential-difference equations *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **39** R541–623
- [3] Meshkov A G and Sokolov V V 2002 Integrable evolution equations on the N -dimensional sphere *Commun. Math. Phys.* **232** 1–18
- [4] Meshkov A G and Sokolov V V 2004 Classification of integrable divergent N -component evolution systems *Theor. Math. Phys.* **139** 609–22
- [5] Sokolov V V and Wolf T 2001 Classification of integrable polynomial vector evolution equations *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **34** 11139–48

- [6] Tsuchida T and Wolf T 2005 Classification of polynomial integrable systems of mixed scalar and vector evolution equations. *I J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **38** 7691–733
- [7] Anco S C and Wolf T 2005 Some symmetry classifications of hyperbolic vector evolution equations *J. Nonl. Math. Phys.* **12** 1–19
- [8] Adler V E 2000 Discretizations of the Landau–Lifshitz equation *Theor. Math. Phys.* **124** 897–908
- [9] Sokolov V V and Shabat A B 1984 Classification of integrable evolution equations *Sov. Sci. Rev. C/Math. Phys. Rev.* **4** 221–80
- [10] Mikhailov A V, Shabat A B and Yamilov R I 1987 The symmetry approach to classification of nonlinear equations: complete lists of integrable systems *Russ. Math. Surveys* **42** 1–63
- [11] Shabat A B and Yamilov R I 1988 Lattice representations of integrable systems *Phys. Lett. A* **130** 271–5
- [12] Shabat A B and Yamilov R I 1991 Symmetries of nonlinear chains *Len. Math. J.* **2** 377–99
- [13] Levi D and Yamilov R I 1997 Conditions for the existence of higher symmetries of evolutionary equations on the lattice *J. Math. Phys.* **38** 6648–74
- [14] Adler V E, Shabat A B and Yamilov R I 2000 Symmetry approach to the integrability problem *Theor. Math. Phys.* **125** 1603–61
- [15] Ragnisco O and Santini P M 1990 A unified algebraic approach to integral and discrete evolution equations *Inverse Problems* **6** 441–52
- [16] Bobenko A I 1999 Discrete integrable systems and geometry *12th Int. Congress of Math. Phys. (ICMP'97, Brisbane)* (Cambridge: International Press) pp 219–26
- [17] Bobenko A I and Suris Yu B 1999 Discrete time Lagrangian mechanics on Lie groups, with an application to the Lagrange top *Commun. Math. Phys.* **204** 147–88
- [18] Adler V E, Svinolupov S I and Yamilov R I 1999 Multi-component Volterra and Toda type integrable equations *Phys. Lett. A* **254** 24–36
- [19] Levi D 1981 Nonlinear differential difference equations as Bäcklund transformations *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **14** 1083–98
- [20] Svinolupov S I 1992 Generalized Schrödinger equations and Jordan pairs *Commun. Math. Phys.* **143** 559–75
- [21] Sokolov V V and Svinolupov S I 1994 Vector-matrix generalizations of classical integrable equations *Theor. Math. Phys.* **100** 959–62
- [22] Svinolupov S I and Sokolov V V 1996 Deformations of Jordan triple systems and integrable equations *Theor. Math. Phys.* **108** 388–92
- [23] Habibullin I T, Sokolov V V and Yamilov R I 1996 Multi-component integrable systems and nonassociative structures *Nonlinear Physics: Theory and Experiment, Lecce'95* ed E Alfinito, M Boiti, L Martina and F Pempinelli (Singapore: World Scientific) pp 139–68
- [24] Takhtajan L A and Faddeev L D 1986 *Hamiltonian Approach in the Soliton Theory* (Moscow: Nauka)